Case3:11-cv-05243-RS Document119 Filed12/20/12 Page1 of 4

1	CHUCK P. EBERTIN (SBN 161374)	DESMARAIS LLP	
2	cebertin@velaw.com VINSON & ELKINS LLP	Jon T. Hohenthaner (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jhohenthaner@desmaraisllp.com	
	525 University Avenue, Suite 410	John C. Spaccarotella (pro hac vice)	
3	Palo Alto, CA 94301-1918 Tel: (650) 687-8200 / Fax: (650) 618-1970	jspaccarotella@desmaraisllp.com Ameet A. Modi (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
4	161. (030) 087-82007 Fax. (030) 018-1970	amodi@desmaraisllp.com	
	CHRISTOPHER V. RYAN (pro hac vice)	Richard Cowell (pro hac vice)	
5	cryan@velaw.com	rcowell@desmaraisllp.com	
6	JANICE L. TA (pro hac vice) jta@velaw.com	230 Park Avenue New York, NY 10169	
	VINSON & ELKINS LLP	Telephone: 212-351-3400	
7	The Terrace 7	Facsimile: 212-351-3401	
8	2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78746	BLACK CHANG & HAMILL LLP	
9	Tel: (512) 542-8400 / Fax: (512) 542-8612	Bradford J. Black (SBN 252031) bblack@bchllp.com	
	DAVID J. TOBIN (pro hac vice)	Andrew G. Hamill (SBN 251156)	
10	dtobin@velaw.com VINSON & ELKINS LLP	ahamill@bchllp.com	
11	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700	333 Bush Street, Suite 2250 San Francisco, CA 94104	
	Dallas, TX 75201	Telephone: 415-813-6210	
12	Tel: (214) 220-7700 / Fax (214) 220-7716	Facsimile: 4 15-813-6222	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and	Attorneys for Defendant and	
	Counterclaim Defendant	Counterclaim Plaintiff	
14	SANDISK CORPORATION	ROUND ROCK RESËARCH LLC	
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1.0			
18			
19	SANDISK CORPORATION,	Case No. 11-cv-05243-RS	
20	Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,	STIPULATED SUPPLEMENTAL	
21	VS.	JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	
22	DOLLYD DOCK DEGE VDOLL I C	STATEMENT AND [PROPOSE D] MARKMAN SCHEDULE	
22	ROUND ROCK RESEARCH LLC,	MARRIMAN SCHEDULE	
23	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.		
24			
25	SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk") and Round	Rock Research LLP ("Round Rock") submit	
26	the following Supplemental Joint Claim Construction Statement concerning United States Pater		
27	Nos. 5,286,344; 5,682,345; 5,783,282; 6,015,760; 6,272,586; 6,383,839; 6,570,791; 7,483,334; an		
28	6,845,053 ("Remaining Patents-In-Suit").		
	STIPULATED SUPP. JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 1	Case No. 11-cv-05243-RS	
	STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] MARKMAN SCHEDULE		

1706345

Reduction in Disputed Terms:

After claim construction briefing was completed, the parties agreed to dismiss their claims regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,021,520. [See Dkt. No. 115 (Order Dismissing '520 Patent).] Accordingly, the Court can ignore the portions of the parties' claim construction briefs addressing the '520 patent. In addition, subsequent to claim construction briefing, Round Rock agreed to withdraw its assertion of claims 5-8 of United States Patent No. 6,383,839. Accordingly, the Court can ignore the portions of the parties' claim construction briefs that address the terms "securing a semiconductor device nonparallel relative to a substrate" and "maintain the nonparallel position of the semiconductor device relative to the substrate."

Remaining Disputed Terms:

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3(c), the parties request that the Court conduct one claim construction hearing on the remaining terms in dispute. The table below shows that the parties have narrowed their disputes to essentially fourteen terms from seven of the Remaining Patents-In-Suit:

PATENT	CLAIMS	DISPUTED TERM/PHRASE
	1-3, 6, 11, 12, 15	"high SiO ₂ etch rate"/"high level of selectivity"
5,286,344	1-3, 6, 11, 12, 15	"the contact sidewalls of said SiO ₂ outer layer are perpendicular to the multilayer structure layers"
	1	"control means" (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6)
5,682,345	1	"program means" (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6)
	1	"recall means" (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6)
5,783,282	2, 4	"depositing a first material onto a semiconductor substrate"/ "sputtering the first material onto the semiconductor substrate"
	2, 4	"bias voltage"
6,272,586	30	"a length of a communication data stream"
	1-4	"reconfiguring a connection pattern of a preexisting semiconductor device design"/"preexisting design"
6,383,839	1-4	"semiconductor device"
	1-4	"toward a single edge"/"adjacent a single edge thereof"
	1, 3, 4, 14	"flash memory"
6,570,791	1, 3, 4	"detects a differential voltage from the array of non-volatile memory cells"
6,845,053	1, 3	"the adjustable current consumption being set to the low power mode"

1 **Proposed Claim Construction Hearing:** 2 A claim construction hearing is currently scheduled for January 16, 2013. If the Court is 3 amenable to addressing all fourteen disputed terms, the parties anticipate that a four hour hearing would be sufficient to address all terms, with each side having two hours to present their positions. 4 The parties propose to address terms in a point/counterpoint fashion instead of having one party 5 6 present on all disputed terms followed by the other party presenting on all disputed terms. 7 **Technology Tutorial:** 8 A technology tutorial is currently scheduled for January 7, 2013. That date was scheduled 9 when the claim construction hearing was set for January 9, 2013. The parties propose that the 10 technology tutorial be rescheduled for either January 14, 2013 or immediately before the claim 11 construction hearing on January 16, 2013, with each party having one hour to present their 12 technology tutorial for the Remaining Patents-In-Suit. 13 Dated: December 20, 2012 VINSON & ELKINS LLP 14 /s/ Chuck P. Ebertin By: 15 Chuck P. Ebertin 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant SANDISK CORPORATION 17 Dated: December 20, 2012 **DESMARAIS LLP** 18 19 By: /s/ John C. Spaccarotella 20 John C. Spaccarotella (admitted pro hac vice) 21 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff ROUND ROCK RESEARCH LLC 22 23 24 **Civil L.R. 5-1(i)** 25 I, Chuck P. Ebertin, hereby attest that John Spaccarotella has concurred in the filing of this 26 document. By: /s/ Chuck P. Ebertin 27 Chuck P. Ebertin 28

STIPULATED SUPP. JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] MARKMAN SCHEDULE 1706345

[PROPOSED] MARKMAN SCHEDULE

Pursuant to the above stipulation, the Court adopts the following claim construction schedule:

- A claim construction hearing will be held on January 16, 2013, starting at 10:00 am, or immediately following the technology tutorial. The parties can present arguments on the fourteen disputed terms. Each side will have two hours to present their positions. The parties will address terms in a point, counterpoint fashion instead of having one party present on all disputed terms followed by the other party presenting on all disputed terms.
- A technology tutorial will be held on [January 14, 2013] or [January 16, 2013], starting 2:00 p.m. at 10:00 a.m. Each party will have one hour to present its technology tutorial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _12/20/12_____

Honorable Richard Seeborg United States District Judge